A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <u>www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</u>.

Reference of report	SELECTIVE INTERNAL RADIATION THERAPY (SIRT) USING YTTRIUM-90 MICROSPHERES FOR HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

Item								Yes	P	No		
Preliminary												
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?								\checkmark				
2. Authors identified?								\checkmark				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?								\checkmark				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?								\checkmark				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?											\checkmark	
Why?												
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								\checkmark				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?								\checkmark				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?								\checkmark	\checkmark			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?								\checkmark				
How?												
10. Details on so	urces of	informati	on ar	nd literature s	earch	strategies p	orovide	ed?				
Search	Databases		Year		Language		I	Primary data		Other kind of information resources		
strategy				range		restriction						
		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	√		√	
Complete refere			List of			Inclusion	1		Exclusion			
of included studies		excluded studies			criteria			criteria				
NN									N			
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected												
Method of data extraction described?		Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the			Method of data synthesis described			а	Results of the assessment clearly			
								presented, eg, in the form				
		literature) described?						of evidence tables?			ables?	
ν												
Context?												
12. Information c			may r		ch HT.							
(Medico-) legal implications		Economic		Ethical		Social implications			Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients,			
considered?		analysis provided?		implications considered?		considered?			consumers) considered?			
-		V					-					
What then?								Yes	P	artly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?								\checkmark				
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?								\checkmark				
15. Suggestions for further action?								\checkmark				

Version 4. July 2007

Copyright INAHTA Secretariat 2007